ALT Special Use TLD

draft-ietf-dnsop-alt-tld

What's the problem?!

RFC2860 & IETF/ICANN MoU supplements:

4.3. Two particular assigned spaces present policy issues in addition to the technical considerations specified by the IETF: the assignment of domain names, and the assignment of IP address blocks. These policy issues are outside the scope of this MOU.

Note that (a) assignments of domain names for **technical uses** (such as domain names for inverse DNS lookup), (b) assignments of specialized address blocks (such as multicast or anycast blocks), and (c) **experimental assignments** are not considered to be policy issues, and shall remain subject to the provisions of this Section 4.

What's the problem?!

• RFC6761 (Special-Use Domain Names) (edited to fit)

Describes what it means to say that a Domain Name (DNS name) is reserved for special use, when reserving such a name is appropriate, and the procedure for doing so.

Similarly, if a domain name has special properties that affect the way hardware and software implementations handle the name,[...] then that domain name may be a candidate for having the IETF declare it to be a Special-Use Domain Name [...]

Where the desired behaviour can be achieved via the existing domain name registration processes, that process should be used. Reservation of a Special-Use Domain Name is not a mechanism for circumventing normal domain name registration processes.

If it is determined that special handling of a name is required in order to implement some desired new functionality, then an IETF "Standards Action" or "IESG Approval" specification [RFC5226] MUST be published describing the new functionality.

3

Yeah, so what's the problem?!

- There were ~ I400 applied for new gTLDs
 - World seems to have decided TLDs (and TLD like things) have value
 - *Lots* of policy and politics in this space ... seriously, lots...
- .amazon geography or shopping?
- patagonia country or clothes?
- .kosher OU Kosher or STAR-K Kosher or OK Kosher or Chicago Rabbinical Council Inc. or...
- wine / .vin who woulda thunk?!

Evolution...

- Initially this document (poorly) described SUN problems
 - This is now addressed by draft-ietf-dnsop-sutld-ps
 - Most of the justification / problem statement removed from ALT
 - ... and so the first slides are crossed out
 - ... and the doc is shorter :-)
- Addresses the SUTLD-PS issues:
 - Experimental Squatting Problem
 - Land Rush Problem
 - Name Collisions

What does this do?

- Provide a context switch to **different** resolution system.
- Reserves a string (ALT) to be used as a TLD label in non-DNS contexts, or for names that have no meaning in a global context.
 - Text in **red** seems incorrect. This was intended to be for names outside the DNS protocol - like .onion
- Requests .ALT as a Special Use Domain Name.
- Adds .ALT to "Locally Served Zones" registry.
- Does not ask for a delegation from the root.
 - Gets you NXDOMAIN if leaks; SERVFAIL if seen by a validating resolver behind the recursive.
 - Asking for a delegation (even insecure) makes this a "real" TLD While we could ask, not convinced it is right thing to do. It's unlikely to happen, or even get an answer in many (5?) years.

What does this NOT do?

- Does NOT deprecate RFC6761
- Does NOT modify RFC6761
- Does NOT change any of the existing requests for RFC6761 names
- Does NOT solve everyone's problems

Ready for WGLC?